**Dee May**Executive Director
Federal Regulatory



1300 I Street N.W., Floor 400W Washington, DC 20005

Phone 202 515-2529 Fax 202 336-7922 dolores.a.may@verizon.com

April 4, 2001

## **Ex Parte**

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> St., S.W. – Portals Washington, DC 20554

> RE: Application by Verizon New England Inc., et al., for Authorization To Provide In-Region, InterLATA Services in Massachusetts, Docket No. 01-9

Dear Ms. Salas:

This letter responds to staff's request that Verizon address Covad's claim (ex parte dated February 14, 2001) that, when Verizon responds to a CLEC's request for information in LFACS, "there is no indication as to which telephone number was queried, what the customer's address is, or any other identifying characteristics of the loop." Covad is incorrect.

When a CLEC representative submits a request for LFACS information over the Web GUI, the Web GUI maintains a "work list" of the representative's requests. If the CLEC representative used the end user's telephone number to identify the loop for which information is being sought, the work list will show that telephone number. The representative can then pull up the response to view the information associated with that telephone number. See attachment.

If a CLEC representative uses the end user's address to identify the loop for which information is being sought, the work list does not provide the telephone number. In order to identify the loop for the CLEC, Verizon has agreed to include the address in the Remarks field when it returns the loop make-up information from LFACS.

Please let me know if you have any questions. The twenty page limit does not apply as set forth in DA 01-106.

Sincerely,

cc:

E. Einhorn

K. Farroba

S. Pie

